



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/880,301	06/13/2001	Satoru Maeda	450100-03286	5547
20999 7590 05/29/2008 FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL. NEW YORK, NY 10151				
EXAMINER				
LONSDERRY, HUNTER B				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2623				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/29/2008		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/880,301

Applicant(s)

MAEDA ET AL.

Examiner

Hunter B. Lonsberry

Art Unit

2623

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 February 2008.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 2/28/08 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is no suggestion in Ballantyne that the TV set is anything other than a conventional TV set and that claim one distinguishes from Balantyne for at least that the display device can receive and demodulate only the transmitted signal destined therefore. (Page 3).

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. Ballantyne discloses that ROM 50 includes a customer identification number (UIN). This UIN value is checked against the UIN number tagged to the distributed video movie, and if a match occurs, the signal is passed for further video processing to the compact disc erasable read only memory. (Column 5, lines 24-31). See figure 3. This step occurs prior to receipt by the television, or the tuner, therefore only data which is intended for that user may be tuned to by the tuner.

Applicant's failure to properly traverse the Official Notice(s) taken in the previous action is viewed as admission of prior art.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 13-18 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hylton et al (USPN 5,708,961) in view of U.S. 5,133,079 to Hylton and U.S. 5,133,079 to Ballantyne.

To serve as a brief overview, the Hylton reference discloses a system for providing wireless on-premises video distribution over a RF frequency in the home. The system consists of a Shared Processing System 10 (containing multiple Channel Selection Interfaces 11, multiple Program Selection Interfaces 13, a modulator 17, and a bi-directional antenna 27) and multiple user stations (each of which comprises an STB 100, a TV 103, a bi-directional antenna 29, and a remote control 85). The Shared Processing System serves to receive programming from a digital broadband network 5 and distribute it over an in-house wireless RF modulated frequency to the user stations. Regarding claim 13, the claimed "display apparatus for receiving a radio signal from a channel selection apparatus connected to a communication circuit and displaying an image based on an image signal of the received signal on a display element" is met by the antenna 29, which receives the broadcast channel and supplies the signal to the TIM 101, which demodulates the signal and supplies the transport stream to the DET

102. The DET 102 then decodes the program and produces the signal on a TV 103 [col. 9, lines 8-28]. The claimed "wherein the display apparatus is arranged to transmit respective information to the channel selection apparatus that includes indicia associated with said display apparatus" is met by the Hylton reference wherein it teaches sending a request from the DET 102 to the shared processing system 10 via antenna 27 and transceiver 21. After the transceiver 21 receives the request, it provides the request to the controller 19 for processing. The controller will recognize the message (request) as relating to a channel selection by the particular one of the set-top terminals 100 [col. 8, lines 35-67]. In other words, the controller can recognize the particular set-top terminal because the set-top terminal identifies itself when making a request to the shared processing system 10. The claimed "display apparatus is arranged to receive and demodulate only a respective signal transmitted from the channel selection apparatus" is met by ** the display apparatus (television set or TV 103 in Fig. 1) receiving only the selected program through the set-top 100 (including TIM 101 and DET 102) from the channel selection apparatus (shared processing system 10), which includes channel selector 11 and program selector 13 associated with or serving the set-top 100 that sent the request (see Fig. 1 and col. 8, line 6 - col. 9, line 28). More specifically, Hylton teaches that packets for the selected program (signal) are included in one digital transport stream as provided by multiplexer 15 (col. 6, lines 5-10). (The packets in the stream include identifiers (col. 6, lines 10-17), which are used by the DET 102 (at the display apparatus) to capture and process digitized and compressed information for the selected program from the transport stream in order to produce

signals to drive and audio/video device such as a TV 103 (col. 9, lines 8-28).) The multiplexer 15 supplies the transport stream containing the selected program to a modulator 17, and the modulator 17 modulates the transport stream into an RF channel for wireless broadcast via the antenna 27 (col. 6, lines 18-34). The display apparatus system (TIM 101, DET 102 and TV 103) tunes (201) to the respective broadcast signal (RF channel) and demodulates 203 the respective signal transmitted from the respective channel selector 11/program selector 13 of the shared processing system 10. Therefore, the claimed, "the display apparatus is arranged to receive and demodulate only a respective signal transmitted from the channel selection apparatus", is met by Hylton as described above. The claimed "channel selection apparatus having a plurality of demodulation sections for selecting the respective signal in accordance with the respective information transmitted from the display apparatus" is met by the shared processing system 10 and more specifically the channel selectors 11 in Fig. 1 as described above.

Hylton, however fails to teach, allowing the display apparatus to only demodulate content which is intended for it.

Ballantyne discloses a system in which a UIN which acts as a customer/receiver ID is appended to a video stream, the receiver checks this value to see if it matches the UIN assigned to the receiver, and will only pass data to which the proper UIN is appended, the data may be a requested movie (column 5, lines 8-44), thereby only allowing a viewer to watch their programming they are entitled too.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hylton to utilize the UIN, UIN checking features and program ordering features as taught by Ballantyne for the advantages of enabling a user to order programming, and only allowing programming that the user is entitled to be viewed.

Regarding claim 14, the claimed "display apparatus according to claim 13, wherein said display apparatus receives the radio signal from said channel selection apparatus obtained through the communication circuit, forms a displaying signal from the received signal and displays an image based on the displaying signal on said display element" is met by the reception of the signal over RF link via antenna 29. After the antenna 29 receives the signal, the TIM 101 processes the signal and sends it to the DET 102 for further processing and display to TV 103 [col. 9, lines 9-28].

Regarding claim 15, the claimed "display apparatus according to claim 13, comprising means for receiving a signal of compressed data transmitted by radio from said channel selection apparatus and decompressing the received signal" is met by the decoder of the DET 102, which can process the compressed information for the selected program from the transport stream output by the TIM 101 [col. 9, lines 21-25].

Regarding claim 16, the claimed "display apparatus according to claim 13, further comprising: instruction input acceptance means for accepting an operation instruction input of the user; and instruction information transmission means for transmitting by radio at least instruction information to be transmitted to said channel selection

apparatus from within instruction information of the operation instruction input accepted by said instruction input acceptance means" is met by the DET 102, which serves to receive the program selection from the remote control 85 and supply the channel request to the TIM 101 for wireless transmission via antenna 29 [col. 8, lines 35-40]. Antenna 27 at the Shared Processing System 10, then serves to receive the channel request from antenna 27 and issue a command to the controller 19 to control the channel and program selections interfaces (11 and 13, respectively) [col. 8, lines 60-66].

Regarding claim 17, the claimed "display apparatus according to claim 13, further comprising: transmission instruction input acceptance means for accepting a transmission instruction input from the user; and transmission information transmitting means for transmitting information corresponding to information indicated by the transmission instruction input accepted by said transmission instruction input acceptance means as transmission information by radio" is met by the DET 102, which serves to receive the program selection from the remote control 85 and supply the channel request to the TIM 101 for wireless transmission via antenna 29 [col. 8, lines 35-40]. Antenna 27 at the Shared Processing System 10, then serves to receive the channel request from antenna 27 and issue a command to the controller 19 to control the channel and program selections interfaces (11 and 13, respectively) [col. 8, lines 60-66].

Regarding claim 18, the claimed "display apparatus according to claim 13, wherein said display apparatus receives a plurality of signals transmitted by radio from said channel selection apparatus and displays an image based on an image signal of one of the signals on said display element" is met by the fact that the shared processing system 10, can modulate multiple signals onto the in-home wireless RF link for reception by different user terminals 100. The plurality of broadcasting signals can be received by the plurality of channel selection circuits 11 in the shared processing system 10 [col. 5, lines 58-60 & col. 6, lines 2-4].

Claims 1-12 and 19-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hylton et al. (USPN 5,708,961), in view of Ballantyne (USPN 5,133,079) and Phillips et al (USPN 6,072,994).

Regarding claim 1, note the Hylton et al reference which discloses a "television reception system". The claimed "channel selection apparatus for receiving broadcasting signals, and having a plurality of channel selection sections each having a respective demodulation section for selecting respective broadcasting programs from within the broadcasting signals in accordance with instructions of respective users and transmitting respective signals of the selected broadcasting programs by radio, said channel selection apparatus being connected to a communication circuit" is met by the Shared Processing System 10 [Fig. 1], which serves to receive instructions from a user

via remote control interface 85, TIM 101, and antennas 29 and 27 over a wireless RF connection [col. 8, line 6 - col. 9, line 28]. The user instruction then serves to issue a command to the controller to control the channel selector 11 and program selector 13 to select a program from the digital broadband network 5 [col. 8, lines 60-65]. The modulator then serves to modulate the selected program into a transport stream for wireless broadcast via antenna 27 to the antenna 29 of the user terminal 100 [col. 9, lines 6-10]. The claimed "wherein the channel selection apparatus includes a multicoupler to permit transmitting and receiving of signals on different frequencies on the same antenna, either alone or simultaneously and without interfering with one another" is met in-part by Hylton et al which teaches transmitting using spread spectrum (frequency hopping on different frequency bands) modulation [col. 3, lines 24-25 & col. 6, lines 35-57] and code-division multiple access (CDMA) techniques. It is even extremely clear in Figure 1 that only one bi-directional antenna 27 is used. Also, Hylton clearly points out that the use of spread spectrum for the on premises distribution presents the advantage of multiple spread spectrum systems operating independently of each other within the same band. Thus multiple independently tuned television sets may operate without interference within the same premise and in adjoining premises [col. 7, lines 50-65]. Hylton does not explicitly disclose a "multicoupler" coupled to the antenna. However, Phillips et al specifically teaches that a sophisticated tunable antenna multicoupler may be used in place of filters and duplexers in order to further eliminate unwanted signal noise or crosstalk and to pick out the signal of interest from among multiple signals or strong interference (see Fig. 5 and col. 25, line 52 - col. 26,

line 6). In addition to, the multiplexer of Phillips et al combines multiple parts into a single integral part. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the Hylton et al reference, which discloses a transmitting and receiving antenna, with the antenna system of Phillips et al, which receives and transmits multiple channels on the same antenna and may further include the use of a multicoupler for the advantages of eliminating unwanted signal noise as well as reducing the number of parts in the system as described above. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to make such a modification since multicoupler devices are well known in the art and specifically used for the advantages given above. The claimed "a plurality of display apparatus each for receiving and demodulating only the respective signal from said channel selection apparatus and displaying an image based on an image signal of the received signal on a display element thereof" is met by the antenna 29, which receives the broadcast channel and supplies the signal to the TIM 101, which demodulates the signal and supplies the transport stream to the DET 102. The DET 102 then decodes the program and produces the signal on a TV 103 [see col. 8, line 6 - col. 9, line 28 and more specifically col. 9, lines 8-28], also note the relevant remarks made in the rejection of claim 13.

Hylton and Phillips, however fails to teach, allowing the display apparatus to only demodulate content which is intended for it.

Ballantyne discloses a system in which a UIN which acts as a customer/receiver ID is appended to a video stream, the receiver checks this value to see if it matches the UIN assigned to the receiver, and will only pass data to which the proper UIN is

appended, the data may be a requested movie (column 5, lines 8-44), thereby only allowing a viewer to watch their programming they are entitled too.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hylton and Phillips to utilize the UIN, UIN checking features and program ordering features as taught by Ballantyne for the advantages of enabling a user to order programming, and only allowing programming that the user is entitled to be viewed.

Regarding claim 2, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system according to claim 1, wherein said channel selection apparatus includes reception means for receiving a signal transmitted thereto through the communication circuit, and means for transmitting the signal received by said reception means by radio, and said display apparatus receives the radio signal from said channel selection apparatus, forms a displaying signal from the received signal and displays an image based on the displaying signal on said display element" as met by the antenna 27 of the shared processing system 10, for receiving user channel selection over the RF link and sending program selection video over the same RF link to the user antenna 29 for display at the TV 103 [col. 8, line 35 - col. 9, line 28].

Regarding claim 3, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system according to claim 1, wherein said channel selection apparatus transmits a signal of compressed data by radio, and said display apparatus includes

decompression means for decompressing the data of the received signal from said channel selection apparatus" as met by the decoder of the DET 102, which can process the compressed information for the selected program from the transport stream output by the TIM 101 [col. 9, lines 21-25].

Regarding claim 4, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system according to claim 1, wherein said display apparatus includes instruction input acceptance means for accepting an operation instruction input of the user, and instruction information transmission means for transmitting by radio at least instruction information to be transmitted to said channel selection apparatus from within instruction information of the operation instruction input accepted by said instruction input acceptance means, and said channel selection apparatus includes control means for receiving the instruction information transmitted by radio from said display apparatus and controlling, when the received instruction information is for said channel selection apparatus itself, so as to perform processing based on the instruction information" as met by the DET 102, which serves to receive the program selection from the remote control 85 and supply the channel request to the TIM 101 for wireless transmission via antenna 29 [col. 8, lines 35-40]. Antenna 27 at the Shared Processing System 10, then serves to receive the channel request from antenna 27 and issue a command to the controller 19 to control the channel and program selections interfaces (11 and 13, respectively) [col. 8, lines 60-66].

Regarding claim 5, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system according to claim 1, wherein said display apparatus includes transmission instruction input acceptance means for accepting a transmission instruction input from the user, and transmission information transmitting means for transmitting information corresponding to information indicated by the transmission instruction input accepted by said transmission instruction input acceptance means as transmission information by radio, and said channel selection apparatus includes transmission information reception means for receiving the transmission information transmitted thereto by radio from said display apparatus, and transmission information signaling means for signaling the transmission information received by said transmission information reception means through the communication circuit" as met by the DET 102, which serves to receive the program selection from the remote control 85 and supply the channel request to the TIM 101 for wireless transmission via antenna 29 [col. 8, lines 35-40]. Antenna 27 at the Shared Processing System 10, then serves to receive the channel request from antenna 27 and issue a command to the controller 19 to control the channel and program selections interfaces (11 and 13, respectively) [col. 8, lines 60-66].

Regarding claim 6, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system according to claim 1, wherein said channel selection apparatus can select a plurality of broadcasting signals simultaneously and transmit signals of a

plurality of broadcasting programs selected by a user in a separable state from each other by radio, and said display apparatus receives the signals transmitted by radio from said channel selection apparatus and displays an image based on an image signal of one of the signals on said display element" as met by the fact that the shared processing system 10, can modulate multiple signals onto the in- home wireless RF link for reception by different user terminals 100. The plurality of broadcasting signals can be received by the plurality of channel selection circuits 11 in the shared processing system 10 [col. 5, lines 58-60 & col. 6, lines 2-4].

Regarding claim 7, note the Hylton et al reference which discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus for receiving broadcasting signals, and having a plurality of channel selection sections each having a respective demodulation section for selecting respective broadcasting programs from within the broadcasting signals in accordance with instructions of respective users and transmitting respective signals of the selected broadcasting programs by radio for reception and demodulation by a display apparatus of the respective users; said channel selection apparatus being connected to a communication circuit" as met by the shared processing system 10 [Fig. 1] including channel selectors 11, which serves to select broadcast program from the digital broadband network 5 in accordance with user selections sent via remote control 85 and DET 102 over wireless RF link via antennas 29 and 27, also note the relevant remarks made in the rejection of claim 13. The Shared Processing System 10 then modulates the selected signals onto the RF frequency band and transmits them to the user

terminals 100 via antenna 27 [col. 8, line 6 - col. 9, line 28]. The claimed "wherein the channel selection apparatus includes a multicoupler to permit transmitting and receiving of signals on different frequencies on the same antenna, either alone or simultaneously and without interfering with one another" is met in-part by Hylton which teaches transmitting using spread spectrum (frequency hopping on different frequency bands) modulation [col. 3, lines 24-25 & col. 6, lines 35-57] and code-division multiple access (CDMA) techniques. It is even extremely clear in Figure 1 that only one bi-directional antenna 27 is used. Also, Hylton clearly points out that the use of spread spectrum for the on premises distribution presents the advantage of multiple spread spectrum systems operating independently of each other within the same band. Thus multiple independently tuned television sets may operate without interference within the same premise and in adjoining premises [col. 7, lines 50-65]. Hylton does not explicitly disclose a "multicoupler" coupled to the antenna. However, Phillips et al specifically teaches that a sophisticated tunable antenna multicoupler may be used in place of filters and duplexers in order to further eliminate unwanted signal noise or crosstalk and to pick out the signal of interest from among multiple signals or strong interference (see Fig. 5 and col. 25, line 52 - col. 26, line 6). In addition to, the multiplexer of Phillips et al combines multiple parts into a single integral part. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have combined the Hylton et al reference, which discloses a transmitting and receiving antenna, with the antenna system of Phillips et al, which receives and transmits multiple channels on the same antenna and may further include the use of a multicoupler for the advantages

of eliminating unwanted signal noise as well as reducing the number of parts in the system as described above. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been led to make such a modification since multicoupler devices are well known in the art and specifically used for the advantages given above.

Hylton and Phillips, however fails to teach, allowing the display apparatus to only demodulate content which is intended for it.

Ballantyne discloses a system in which a UIN which acts as a customer/receiver ID is appended to a video stream, the receiver checks this value to see if it matches the UIN assigned to the receiver, and will only pass data to which the proper UIN is appended, the data may be a requested movie (column 5, lines 8-44), thereby only allowing a viewer to watch their programming they are entitled too.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Hylton and Phillips to utilize the UIN, UIN checking features and program ordering features as taught by Ballantyne for the advantages of enabling a user to order programming, and only allowing programming that the user is entitled to be viewed.

Regarding claim 8, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus according to claim 7, comprising: reception means for receiving a signal transmitted thereto through the communication circuit; and means for transmitting the signal received by said reception means by radio" as met by the antenna 27 for receiving a user selection transmitted by antenna 27 through RF link [col. 8, lines 40-42]

and the antenna 27 that transmits the signal from the shared processing system 10 to the user terminals via RF link [col. 9, lines 6-11].

Regarding claim 9, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus according to claim 7, wherein the signal to be transmitted by radio is in the form of compressed data" as met by the decoder of the DET 102, which can process the compressed information for the selected program from the transport stream output by the TIM 101 [col. 9, lines 21-25].

Regarding claim 10, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus according to claim 7, further comprising control means for receiving instruction information transmitted by radio from a display apparatus and controlling, when the received instruction information is for said channel selection apparatus, so as to perform processing based on the instruction information" as met by Antenna 27 at the Shared Processing System 10, which serves to receive the channel request from antenna 27 and issue a command to the controller 19 to control the channel and program selections interfaces (11 and 13, respectively) [col. 8, lines 60-66].

Regarding claim 11, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus according to claim 7, further comprising transmission information reception means for receiving transmission information transmitted thereto by radio from a display apparatus, and signaling means for signaling the transmission information received by said transmission information reception means through the communication circuit" as met by the antenna 27, which receives the transmission from antenna 29 regarding the user channel selection and signal data transceiver 21 and controller 19,

which serve to act upon the received signal for selection of a channel [col. 8, lines 40-45].

Regarding claim 12, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "channel selection apparatus according to claim 7, wherein said channel selection apparatus can select a plurality of broadcasting signals simultaneously and transmit signals of a plurality of broadcasting programs selected by a user in a separable state from each other by radio" as met by the fact that the shared processing system 10, can modulate multiple signals onto the in-home wireless RF link for reception by different user terminals 100. The plurality of broadcasting signals can be received by the plurality of channel selection circuits 11 in the shared processing system 10 [col. 5, lines 58-60 & col. 6, lines 2-4].

Regarding claim 19, the claim is rejected based on similar grounds as the rejection of claim 1 as stated above.

Regarding claim 20, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system of claim 19, wherein the channel selection apparatus further comprises a transmission processing section coupled to the multicoupler to demodulate the selected broadcast programs into broadcast signals of different frequency bands from one another prior to transmitting to the display apparatus" as met by the channel

selection 11 and program selection 13 sections, which demultiplex and demodulate the received and requested programs and multiplex them together for transmission via antenna 27 [Figure 1 & col. 5, line 58 - col. 6, line 4].

Regarding claim 21, the Hylton et al reference further discloses the claimed "television reception system of claim 20, wherein each display apparatus is responsive to a respective frequency band" as met by the fact that the set-top terminals can use the relevant frequency spectrum to receive programming from the shared processing system 10 [col. 7, lines 35-40]. Also, the receivers distinguish transmission from different modulators by demodulating signals using the same code as used by the respective modulators [col. 6, lines 41-44].

Regarding claim 22, the claim is rejected based on similar grounds as the rejection of claim 13 as stated above.

Regarding claim 23, the Hylton et al and Phillips et al references disclose the claimed television reception system of claim 1 as described above. Hylton and Phillips do not explicitly disclose that the channel selection apparatus as well as each display apparatus are configured to receive and transmit electronic mail and Internet information as presented in the claim. However, the Examiner takes Official Notice that it is notoriously well known in the art of interactive video distribution systems to receive and transmit electronic email and Internet information for the advantage of combining

personal computer related technology with interactive television systems for the convenience of having all the functionality in a single device. Therefore, it is submitted that it would have been clearly obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have included the ability to receive and transmit electronic email and Internet information in the television reception system as claimed for the advantage given above.

Regarding claim 24, the claim is rejected based on the rejections of claims 1 and 23 as described above.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hunter B. Lonsberry whose telephone number is 571-272-7298. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Miller can be reached on 571-272-7353. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Hunter B. Lonsberry/
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2623

HBL